

North Carolina Tuberculosis Control Program
Tuberculosis Cases and Case Rates by County, 2008-2012*
April 16, 2013

| COUNTY | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2012 | |
|------------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | CASES | RATE | CASES | RATE | CASES | RATE | CASES | RATE | CASES | RATE |
| Alamance | 4 | 2.7 | 5 | 3.3 | 5 | 3.3 | 2 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Alexander | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Alleghany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 9.2 |
| Anson | 3 | 11.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.8 |
| Ashe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Avery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Beaufort | 2 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 2.1 | 4 | 8.4 | 1 | 2.1 |
| Bertie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 14.5 |
| Bladen | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3.1 | 2 | 6.0 | 3 | 9.0 | 2 | 5.7 |
| Brunswick | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Buncombe | 7 | 3 | 6 | 2.6 | 2 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Burke | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2.2 | 1 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 7.7 |
| Cabarrus | 4 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.1 | 2 | 1.1 |
| Caldwell | 2 | 2.5 | 2 | 2.5 | 2 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Camden | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Carteret | 3 | 4.6 | 1 | 1.6 | 1 | 1.5 | 2 | 3.0 | 3 | 4.4 |
| Caswell | 1 | 4.2 | 1 | 4.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.3 |
| Catawba | 1 | 0.6 | 4 | 2.5 | 2 | 1.3 | 2 | 1.3 | 7 | 4.5 |
| Chatham | 2 | 3.3 | 2 | 3.1 | 1 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.5 |
| Cherokee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 3.7 |
| Chowan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.6 | 1 | 6.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Clay | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Cleveland | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Columbus | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3.7 | 1 | 1.8 | 1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Craven | 4 | 4.1 | 5 | 5.1 | 3 | 3.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Cumberland | 8 | 2.5 | 9 | 2.9 | 6 | 1.9 | 11 | 3.4 | 5 | 1.5 |
| Currituck | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Dare | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Davidson | 3 | 1.9 | 3 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Davie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Duplin | 4 | 7.4 | 4 | 7.5 | 6 | 11.0 | 3 | 5.5 | 2 | 3.3 |
| Durham | 15 | 5.8 | 12 | 4.4 | 14 | 5.2 | 9 | 3.4 | 10 | 3.6 |
| Edgecombe | 6 | 11.4 | 2 | 3.9 | 2 | 3.7 | 1 | 1.9 | 3 | 5.4 |
| Forsyth | 16 | 4.6 | 8 | 2.2 | 11 | 3.1 | 12 | 3.4 | 6 | 1.7 |
| Franklin | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.7 | 2 | 3.2 | 1 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Gaston | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0.5 | 2 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.4 |
| Gates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Graham | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Granville | 1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.7 |
| Greene | 4 | 18.6 | 2 | 9.7 | 6 | 28.2 | 3 | 14.1 | 2 | 9.3 |
| Guilford | 21 | 4.5 | 21 | 4.4 | 31 | 6.3 | 22 | 4.5 | 17 | 3.4 |
| Halifax | 4 | 7.1 | 2 | 3.7 | 5 | 8.9 | 1 | 1.8 | 4 | 7.4 |
| Harnett | 4 | 3.7 | 3 | 2.6 | 5 | 4.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Haywood | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.8 | 1 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Henderson | 4 | 3.8 | 3 | 2.9 | 10 | 9.4 | 3 | 2.8 | 1 | 0.9 |
| Hertford | 1 | 4.1 | 1 | 4.3 | 2 | 8.3 | 3 | 12.5 | 1 | 4.1 |
| Hoke | 2 | 4.6 | 3 | 6.6 | 1 | 2.2 | 1 | 2.2 | 1 | 2.0 |
| Hyde | 1 | 18 | 1 | 19.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

| COUNTY | 2008 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2011 | | 2012 | |
|----------------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | CASES | RATE | CASES | RATE | CASES | RATE | CASES | RATE | CASES | RATE |
| Iredell | 2 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.2 |
| Jackson | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5.4 | 1 | 2.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 4.9 |
| Johnston | 10 | 6.2 | 7 | 4.2 | 5 | 3.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 4 | 2.3 |
| Jones | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Lee | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.7 | 4 | 6.4 | 2 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Lenoir | 4 | 6.8 | 2 | 3.5 | 6 | 10.3 | 2 | 3.4 | 8 | 13.5 |
| Lincoln | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.3 | 1 | 1.3 |
| Macon | 1 | 2.9 | 1 | 3.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Madison | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Martin | 2 | 8.2 | 1 | 4.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.2 |
| McDowell | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.2 | 2 | 4.4 | 1 | 2.2 |
| Mecklenburg | 44 | 5 | 33 | 3.6 | 40 | 4.3 | 37 | 4.0 | 30 | 3.1 |
| Mitchell | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 6.5 |
| Montgomery | 3 | 10.7 | 1 | 3.6 | 3 | 10.5 | 5 | 17.5 | 1 | 3.6 |
| Moore | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2.3 | 3 | 3.3 | 2 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nash | 3 | 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 1.0 | 3 | 3.1 |
| New Hanover | 4 | 2.1 | 3 | 1.5 | 4 | 2.0 | 5 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Northampton | 1 | 4.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4.8 | 4 | 18.7 |
| Onslow | 3 | 1.7 | 4 | 2.3 | 3 | 1.7 | 3 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Orange | 7 | 5.4 | 1 | 0.8 | 3 | 2.3 | 3 | 2.3 | 2 | 1.4 |
| Pamlico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pasquotank | 1 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pender | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3.8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.9 | 2 | 3.7 |
| Perquimans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Person | 2 | 5.2 | 1 | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.6 | 1 | 2.5 |
| Pitt | 6 | 3.9 | 6 | 3.8 | 6 | 3.6 | 7 | 4.2 | 5 | 2.9 |
| Polk | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Randolph | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.4 |
| Richmond | 3 | 6.3 | 1 | 2.2 | 3 | 6.3 | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Robeson | 20 | 15.1 | 16 | 12.3 | 12 | 9.0 | 7 | 5.2 | 13 | 9.6 |
| Rockingham | 3 | 3.2 | 1 | 1.1 | 2 | 2.1 | 3 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Rowan | 3 | 2.2 | 3 | 2.1 | 2 | 1.4 | 3 | 2.2 | 3 | 2.2 |
| Rutherford | 1 | 1.6 | 1 | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sampson | 7 | 10.6 | 5 | 7.8 | 6 | 9.1 | 6 | 9.1 | 4 | 6.3 |
| Scotland | 4 | 10.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13.4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Stanly | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.7 | 2 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Stokes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Surry | 2 | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 2.7 |
| Swain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Transylvania | 1 | 3.2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3.2 | 1 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Tyrrell | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Union | 7 | 3.8 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Vance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wake | 40 | 4.7 | 22 | 2.5 | 37 | 4.1 | 29 | 3.2 | 16 | 1.7 |
| Warren | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.9 |
| Washington | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Watauga | 1 | 2.2 | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wayne | 3 | 2.6 | 8 | 7.0 | 8 | 6.8 | 9 | 7.7 | 7 | 5.6 |
| Wilkes | 2 | 2.9 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 3 | 4.4 | 1 | 1.4 |
| Wilson | 7 | 8.8 | 3 | 3.8 | 5 | 6.2 | 4 | 5.0 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Yadkin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Yancey | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| North Carolina | 335 | 3.6 | 250 | 2.7 | 296 | 3.1 | 244 | 2.5 | 211 | 2.2 |

*1. Data source: NC EDSS TB Surveillance - Demographic Data Report. Rates are per 100,000 population.

2. Denominators for computing rates for the state were obtained from the Annual Estimates of the Population for the United States and Puerto Rico for 2007, 2008, and 2009. For 2010 and 2011, the source is: U.S. Census Bureau, Summary File 1, Table PCT12. For 2012, the Annual Community Survey Population Estimates for 2012 were used.